PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS, (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) to (c) The Central Vigilance Commission is an advisory body to advise the concerned administrative authorities about the action to be taken against the officials for commission of offences under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 or for any misconduct under the provisions of the concerned Disciplinary Rules. The penalties on such officials can only be imposed by the disciplinary authorities enumerated in the relevant Rules. If such an authority does not accept/implement the Central Vigilance Commission's advice/suggestion, the matter is reported in the Commission's Annual Report in terms of paragraph 2 (xiv) of the Ministry of Home Affairs* Resolution No. 24/7/64-AVD dated 11.02.1964. The Commission's Annual Report together with a memorandum explaining the reasons for non-acceptance of the Central Vigilance Commission's advice is laid by the Government on the Table of the House.

**Re-Appointment in UPA**

2734. SHRI RAJU PARMAR: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 876 given in the Rajya Sabha on 7th March, 2002 and state:

(a) the details of the procedure adopted for re-appointment of two retired Government officials and five retired Indian Institute of Public Administration (UPA) employees on contractual basis and for the post of PRO;

(b) whether approval of the Executive Council of UPA was obtained and if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) the source of funding for these employees; and

(d) whether these re-appointments amount to violation of Government policy which envisages reduction of staff strength and if so, the reasons for re-employment of these employees?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) The UPA has informed

that re-appointment of retired Government officials/retired UPA employees was resorted to by the UPA for specific need(s) as purely short-term ad-hoc arrangement on consolidated salaries. Details of such appointments are enclosed in the Statement *(See below).*

(b) Approval of Executive Council was obtained by the UPA in the case of Executive Engineer (Maintenance) and PRO. The rest being junior positions, the power to make officiating appointments rests with the Director, UPA.

(c) Salaries are being paid from total revenues of UPA including grants received from the Government.

(d) No Sir. Being an autonomous Institute, UPA is not bound by Government policies regarding staff strength.

**Statement**

*Details of appointments of Retired Govt. Officials/IIPA employees*

| S.No. | Name &. Designation (at retirement) | Date since When engaged | Consolidated Salary (per month) | De tails |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Shri J.P. Gupta Executive Engineer | 10-11-1997 | Rs. 10.500/ | The appointment was made with the approval of competent authority after taking into accounts his past performance in the Government and requirements of the job. |
| 2. | Shri Charan Dass Senior Accounts Officer | 1-5-2000 | Rs.7,700/- | |
| 3. | Shri Chuni Lai Superintendent (Senior Scale) | 1-3-2001 | Rs. 7,700/- | The appointment was made with the approval of competent authority after assessing his past performance in the UPA and requirements of the job. |
| 4. | Shri G.C. Tandon Senior Stenographer (Senior Scale) | 1-12-2001 | Rs. 5,500/- | |
| 5. | Shri C.L. Arora Assistant | 1-12-2001 | Rs. 5.000/- | |
| 6. | Shq Devi Prasad Superintendent (Accounts) | 2-12-1999 | Rs. 6,500/- | |
| 7. | Shri B.S. Rana Stenographer (Senior Scale) | 27-3-2000 | Rs. 6.000/- | |
| 8. | Mrs. Savita Mehta PRO | 16-11-1998 | Rs. 9,000/- | Appointment was made on the recommendation of a duly constituted Selection Committee after taking the approval of competent authority. |